

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMENCE Patent and Trade, rk Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231



		TATES OF			\sim
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	ED APPLICANT		ATTY. DOCKET NO.
					0005 4574004
09/060,	047 04/1	4/98 DUNN	1	R	8905.157US01 EXAMINER
MEDGUAN	T-GOULD SM	.HM12/()924 	(AETJUNIKA)	V. E. PAPER NUMBER
	& SCHMIDT	1111 645 646	·		6
3100 NO	RWEST CENT	WER		1617	
90 SUUT MINNEAP	H SEVENTH OLIS MN 55	51REE1 402-4131		DATE MAILED:	·
					09/24/99
This is a second place of	M	ahanaa af	·		
COMMISSIONER OF PAT		charge of your application. MARKS	2		
		OFFICE ACTION	SHAMARY		
1	·			•	• •
Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on	4/14/91	<u> </u>	+	
This action is FINAL.		7 . 4			•
 	la condition for o	lowed or over the format	noitore processition on t	a tha marita i	s elegand in
accordance with the pri	actice under <i>Ex pa</i>	llowance except for formal m arte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 4:	satters, prosecution as t 53 O.G. 213:	o the ments is	s closed in
Shortened statutory perio	d for response to t	this action is set to expire	. O	month(s), or t	hirty days.
vhichever is longer, from th	e mailing date of t	his communication. Failure	to respond within the per	iod for respons	e will cause
ne application to pecome a .136(a).	bandoned. (35 U.	S.C. § 133). Extensions of t	time may be obtained und	der the provision	ns of 37 CFR
Disposition of Claims				•	
isposition of Claims	, 2	0	•	•	
Claim(s)	., ., - 2	\$		is/are pendi	ng in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	s/are withdraw	n from consideration.
Claim/al					_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected.
Claim(s)					are objected to.
Claim(s)		2 f	are subject (to restriction or	election requirement.
pplication Papers					
See the attached Notice	of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review, P1	FO-048		
		Tratent Diawing Heview, Fi		he Examiner.	
The proposed drawing	córrection, filed on			approved	disapproved.
The specification is objection	•			·	
The oath or declaration	is objected to by t	ne Examiner.			
riority under 35 U.S.C. §	119			,	
Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d).		
All Some*	None of the Cl	ERTIFIED copies of the prior	rity documents have been	n	
			,	•	
received.	tion No. (Series C	ode/Serial Number)	•		
		ation from the International B		 ,	
*Certified copies not rece			,	•	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· ·
Acknowledgment is made	de of a claim for de	omestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e).		
ttachment(s)		:			
Notice of Reference Cite	od PTO 800		•		
	•	4440 Danas N=4-1		•	<i>*</i>
/ /		-1449, Paper No(s).			
Interview Summary, PT					• •
Notice of Draftperson's	•		•		
Notice of Informal Pater	t Application, PTC	F152	. *		

Art Unit: 1617

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24 and 27-28, drawn to a composition, classified in class 514, subclass 937.
 - II. Claims 25-26, drawn to a method of using, classified in class 424, subclass 422.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with a materially different product such as a solution.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicants elect Group I, the following elections of species are required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a) a composition with an aqueous carrier, b) a composition with a non-aqueous carrier.

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, carriers are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Should applicant elect b), a composition with a non-aqueous carrier, the following election of species is required:

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 6-10 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising lipid carriers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: c) a composition with a non-polymeric matrix material, d) a composition with a polymeric matrix material.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, matrix materials are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Art Unit: 1617

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Should applicant elect c), a composition with a non-polymeric matrix material, the following election of species is required:

Claim 27 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising non-polymeric matrix material.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on th record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1617

Should applicant elect d) a composition with a polymeric material, the following election of species is required:

Claim 14 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A phone restriction was not attempted in view of the complexity of the requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald E. Adams, Ph.,D., can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

E.Webman:BL

09/20/99

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500